

## FASHION'S FIDELITY.

Fine Gowns in Growing Demand as Lent Draws Near.

DELIGHTS IN WHITE SATIN.

Features of the Latest Dresses Made for Evening Wear.

Short Trains on the Latest Evening Gowns—The Newest Evening Gown Known by Its Lack of Size—Plunged Effects That Find Favor—The Fancy for One-Sided Effects—High-Necked Bodices Much Worn—Style of Decoration Most Popular—New Results Worked out with the Bolero—Jacket Effects Much in Evidence in the New Spring Models in Cloth.

The affairs of fashion are at high tide now that social functions of every kind and description between a fancy dress ball and a quiet afternoon at home, reign supreme, and the demands for dressy gowns increase as the season shortens and the restrictions of Lent draw near. The fashionable woman's average of gaiety



must be maintained, so festivities crowd one another in quick succession until Lent fills the cup of pleasure with the ashes of fashionable penitence.

White satin, either covered with gauze or quite plain, is the material most generally employed for evening gowns, and the latest of these are supplied with a short train, while the bodice is cut with a round, or rather straight, neck, well off from the shoulders but not too directly in the front or at the back. A drapery of lace or gauze finishes the edge and is fastened at intervals with fancy pins or bunches of violets or tiny roses. This sort of bodice has a wide draped belt of satin, even though the bodice is entirely of the same material. Inexpensive evening gowns of white and tinted satins can be fashioned very easily now with the accordion-plated skirts which can be purchased in the stores all ready for use. They are shaped in circular form before they are pleated, so there is no excess of fullness around the hips, and they are all made with the exception of hanging them over a foundation skirt of white tulle or silk, white chiffon, or a



color to match if the skirt is tinted, makes a pretty waist with a tiny puff of chiffon for the sleeves. The latest evening sleeves are known by its lace or gauze gathered at the wrist and fastened with a tiny puff of chiffon. The outfit up-to-date evening gown is not cut so low as it was last season, and some gowns are finished with a narrow tuck of lace or chiffon inside. A deep fall of lace from the neck to the belt is a pretty finish for a low-cut gown.

Transparent materials of any sort make lovely evening dresses with the new mode of plaiting the skirts, and yellow gauze is very realistic when sun-plaited. Another pretty skirt is made of three-inch plaitings of gauze pulled out on the very edge so that each plaiting has the effect of an additional ruffle. The material is doubled before the plaiting is done. Thin gowns not plaited usually have some sort of skirt trimming, either narrow ruffles of the



same material headed by a tiny wreath of flowers, or tucked all the way to the waist with rows of narrow satin ribbon between.

High-necked bodices are very much worn for dinner and theatre parties, and are considered quite correct for any except very formal occasions. A pretty example of this style of dress is made of soft, glossy black silk with a fringe of white lace at the neck and cuffs. A wide folded belt of the silk

forms the lower part of the waist, and all the upper part is of accordion-plaited white chiffon covered with flowered black lace jetted and studded with colored jewels. Folds of silk form the sleeves with the black jetted lace over the puff at the top, and the collar is of white satin ribbon with a fringe of lace at the back.



Jeweled designs on laces and galleons of various kinds, colored with pasterments set with jewels and Oriental colored effects of various kinds, will furnish a large proportion of the novelties in dress trimmings for the coming season. Laces wrought with gold thread and daintily jeweled come in all sorts of forms for bodices, revers, bolero jackets, yokes, and every other shape which can possibly make up the sum of a fashionable bodice. Waists of light colored velvet are worn with satin skirts, and if the front breadth is embroidered with gold thread and spangles the combination is very effective. Black gauze embroidered with gold and made up over white is one of the novel mixtures this season, and each ends of colored velvet are caught down



from waist to hem at intervals all around the skirt. White tulle trimmed with snowdrops is another pretty combination. The dainty, simple evening bodice shown in the illustration is of light white crepe de chine and the décolleté neck is outlined with three narrow fitted frills of the same. Cream-colored net forms the second bodice; the frills on the sleeves are edged with cream satin baby ribbon, and the bolero is made of lattice-work bands of cream white cloth, lined with sequins and pearls. Satin ribbon flaps at the neck and waist. An effective model for a black satin or velvet bodice shows plaited revers lined with white satin and turned back to reveal an under



body of cream-colored lace. A frill of this falls from the bust to the belt, which is of rose-colored velvet like the shoulder straps. Double loops of satin form the sleeves, with lace frills coming out between.

White net is very popular for dressy gowns, and wide tucks with rows of narrow white satin ribbon between are an effective skirt trimming, with frills of the net at the foot. The same tucks and frills complete the bodice and sleeves, and a bunch of deep red velvet roses adorns the side. The lace-trimmed neck well off from the shoulders is shown in the next model of black net over yellow silk trimmed with yellow velvet ribbon spangled with jet. A one-sided effect in the back is shown in the broad-based skirt and the revers are faced with colored velvet. Pale blue mousseline de sole over blue makes a very striking evening gown, with the killed skirt, killed ruffles at the knee, and a blue satin bolero embroidered with pearls and silver sequins, finished on the edge with a frill. A hand of embroidered satin divides the puffs on the sleeves. A high-necked gown of pink chiffon is made with a deep collar of pink velvet, embroidered with silver and



Narrow bands are not an unusual decoration

for chiffon gowns, and sable or pale green is charming. Flourishes of white chiffon, edged with silver thread, are a pretty finish for chiffon skirts; white satin bodices draped with silver embroidered chiffon usually accompany this.

Very little can be said of fashion at the moment without some reference to the jacket effects which are so much in evidence in every kind of gown, especially the new spring models in cloth presented for early inspection. The bolero, which is long enough to meet a narrow belt, rather than the lead, but there is every conceivable kind of jacket except the long one. A finely tucked bolero trimmed with Austrian knots of black braid is shown with an extra cloth gown, which is also trimmed on the skirt to match, and the yoke is of green velvet covered with cream lace. Black satin forms the belt and collar, and a lace frill extends down the side, where the jacket fastens with green velvet bows. Another costume of black and blue mixed wool is a plain skirt and a sort of loose souvise, buttoned down the front and



caught up at either side with a fancy buckle. Fern cloth braided with black forms the second revers, and black satin the rest, collar and belt. A touch of black or white or both is almost a necessity for a successful gown this season, and whatever may be the color of the dress, the introduction of black is in nearly every case an improvement. The military style of trimming appears on some of the new cloth jackets, and one costume in rather a light shade of blue has the military cut as well, and is trimmed with



black braid. The cuffs and collar of red cloth with a black braid finish make it very striking. The triple skirt is one of the effects seen among cloth gowns, and each flounce is cut circular to fit the foundation. The material is green cloth for skirt and sleeves, and the bodice is of pale green silk, with a darker green velvet bolero, cut in the neck, over this. The jacket



fastens on one side, where it is cut out in squares and decorated with a frill of lace. An embroidery of jet and gold finishes the edge all around and the belt and collar are of velvet.

There was an ambitious entertainment the other evening down in the Syrian colony. The Syrian Women's Union held its first open meeting at Trinity House, in Trinity place. Of the forty members, probably not one had seen America half a dozen years ago, but they soberly discussed, in choicest Arabic, the solemn question, "Should Woman Enjoy Man's Equality?" That is the way one bright-eyed little Syrian maid translated the subject of the debate.

"We have been meeting only four months," said Mrs. Najla Mogabab, the young and pretty President of the union. "At first our husbands laughed and said we could not, but now they are willing to assist us." She pointed to the stage, where the Turkish flag with its star and crescent was amicably festooned alongside the American Stars and Stripes. "They helped us decorate."

spent my summers. But these women are shut in, and cannot live their own lives. There we can be as we will. But New York is not beautiful. I think of the olive trees and the lemon trees and the luxuriant flowers and the sunny skies of my native land, and long for them so; but here I can go into business, or I can enter a profession if I choose." She had learned her lesson well.

Mrs. Black, the Vice-President of the union, spoke on "Modern Civilization." What she said about all the reports could not make out, but the little Syrian maid whispered in hissing English: "Ah, Syrian women are not as they ought to be. They wear beautiful, flowing, classic garments; now we dress in these unbecoming European gowns. But we study more now. There are English and American ladies now in Syria for girls. Yes, nearly all of us speak English before we came here." "The Love of Country," the President spoke again, and Mrs. Mansour raised her sweet voice in song. The rest of the union chanted several lays. There was an Oriental air about it all. A



Turkish lute was the only musical instrument. The Occidentals who used these instruments wished they had been otherwise. The Oriental music is so sweet and so different from the Occidental's it can digest those sweetest in stolid unconcern.

The Syrian women were not beyond the probability of a light shade of blue. According to history, she is somewhat old; according to appearance she is just as new as any of them.

**BARRELLED ELIXIR OF LIFE.**  
The Health There Is in Apples and the Way to Get Women to Eat Them.

The Deacon does not say that he has discovered the fountain of perpetual youth. That would be superlative, and the Deacon does not indulge in superlatives unless he happens to be talking of his daughters, granddaughters or great-granddaughters, who are looking in the future for him. Anybody who knows the Deacon will not hesitate to say that even great-granddaughters are not beyond the probabilities for the Deacon looks almost as young as his youngest son. There is nothing medicinal about the Deacon's recipe for preserving life and promoting happiness. It is within the reach of all, and may be bought by the barrel.

This declaration might give rise to the suspicion that the Deacon is a quack, and that New Jersey distillers have succeeded in converting it into the beverage long known as apple brandy. It may be feared that the Deacon's recipe is apples.

"I bought two barrels last fall," said the Deacon. "At first my daughters did not eat them very much; in fact, I thought that I had made a mistake. But they are the best things in the world for the stomach. In my opinion, and also for the nerves. I thought I had lost my mind when I saw how the girls liked the apples. I took them to the public club work, and until a few weeks ago, when I read a piece in 'The Sun' saying that apples were good for the complexion, and my girls about it. I thought the next day we couldn't have enough apples for the complexion. It is feminine nature to care more for beauty than for the complexion. I have doubtless been a little bit of a quack, but that's because they are good for the stomach and the nerves."

**A ST. VALENTINE'S DINNER.**  
Decorations at an Entertainment To-Morrow—Hints for Washington's Birthday.

little button radiance. Blue dishes being used, such of the menu may be in American colors, as this: Tomato soup, broiled lobster, breast of mutton, cranberries, rice croquettes with currants, jelly, tomato and celery salad, a sugar, iced punch, and the top of the sherbet dotted with French cherries, a blue and gilt Continental hat, and a blue and gilt hat.

**A PLEA FOR WOMEN'S CLUBS.**  
A Mother Thinks They Are Doing Good—Contrast with Men's Clubs.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN:—In your issue of Sunday, Jan. 31, under the title "Mothers and Mothers," there is an account of a woman's club, which met to consider the proper books for children to read, in which article the women's club, the State Federation, the responsibility of maternity, and the woman who were square-toed shoes are humorously commented on. I do not pretend to inquire whether this meeting was a bona fide one or not, but it may well have been just as set down. "Mrs. Van Kleeve," and "Mrs. Van Kleeve," the little jealousies and recriminations, I own up to the whole of it, for I am a club woman, and I know. Such meetings are being held every week all over the United States.

But while we are having such fun over the ambitions, the absurd feeling of personal responsibility, the contradictions and the dogmatism of the mothers, would it not be well to inquire just when and at what particular place the reporter might find the fathers engaged in discussing these same matters with each other? We see reams of good advice from editors and preachers about what is to be a good mother; but we never see a reporter sent out to hunt up a father who does a man learn how to be a good father? And yet it is the basic problem, the *raison d'être* of his existence.

Considering that we see on every finger post that the State is based upon the Family, it would seem high time that both parents were finding this out. The mothers are some mothers. The fathers have got just far enough to make fun of the mothers for beginning to discover their limitations. This is good, as far as it goes, and a certain advance upon the past, but one would like to see a corresponding eagerness on the part of the fathers. Would it not be to see a reporter sent out to hunt up a "copy" on that subject? Would he find men congregated at any one place outside of business hours? Would he find men's clubs would be full. Let the reporter listen to all his ears for one word on the chief object of their lives, and what would he hear? Any discussion of the public schools? Of the management of a household for children? "Obedience in the Family." "The Mind of a Child." "When and How to Say No." "Sympathy with the Soldier." "Outline these subjects as these which may be found in almost any year book of a woman's club in almost any State in the Union. The fathers of the land shut all such extraneous and puerile subjects and keep only to the list of war, and the world, and the flesh, and the devil. That is the best of them. Of the subjects discussed by those who are not the best, the least and the best.

Now, Mr. Editor, do you not think that it would be a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients. Light houses and ignorance when united better than they can single handed. Manufacturers get together and get smaller from the competition. It results in their ineffectuality. Do any of these organizations because it will make them better off? No. They would wish, if they could, to be the other way. Then, Mr. Editor, is it not a good idea to have a "Men's Club" as a joke? Liquor dealers organize. Why? Because they can sell more whiskey. Doctors organize. Why? Because they can get more patients.